## CAR-T cell therapy pros and cons

#### **Stephen J. Schuster, MD**

Professor of Medicine Perelman School of Medicine of the University of Pennsylvania Director, Lymphoma Program & Lymphoma Translational Research Abramson Cancer Center of the University of Pennsylvania

Unmet challenges in high risk hematological malignancies: from benchside to clinical practice

Turin, September 13-14, 2018 Torino Incontra Centro Congressi

#### Estimated Cases and Distribution of Mature Lymphoid Neoplasm Subtypes United States, 2016



## **DLBCL: Real World Prognosis**

•1- and 5-Year Survival (%), All Ages, 2004-2011



Ideal World Outcomes\*

Treatment outcomes for advanced DLBCL		
R-CHOP <sup>1</sup> :	66% 5 year DFS	
	34% relapse / fail therapy	
HD chemo + ASCT <sup>2</sup> :	<u>31% salvaged* (3 year EFS)</u>	
	76% long term remissions	
unmet need ≈ 24% of patients with DL		

\*assumes all relapsed or refractory patients are eligible for high dose chemotherapy and ASCT

> <sup>1</sup>J Clin Oncol 2005; 23:4117-4126. <sup>2</sup>J Clin Oncol 2010; 28:4184-4190.

Prepared by Cancer Research UK, 2014 Haematological Malignancy Research Network DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma DFS, disease-free survival EFS, event-free survival ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant

#### Diminishing Role of AutoSCT in the Rituximab Era: CORAL study

#### HD chemo + autoSCT: all patients (intent to treat)

## EFS for rituximab treatment + relapse <12 months after diagnosis



EFS = event-free survival, time from start of treatment to progression, relapse, new treatment, or death (irrespective of cause), whichever event occurred first. HD = high dose; autoSCT = autologous stem cell transplant Gisselbrecht et al, 2010.

# What does AutoSCT achieve as second line therapy in the rituximab era\*?



\*Estimates based on Gisselbrecht et al. J Clin Onc 2010 28:27, 4184-4190. \*Assumes all patients received rituximab as part of primary therapy

#### Outcomes for Relapsed DLBCL Failing Second-line Salvage Regimens: CORAL study

(Third Line Therapy or "the third space")



Van Den Neste, et al. Bone Marrow Transplantation 2016 51; 51

#### Outcomes for Relapsed DLBCL Receiving Third-line Salvage Regimens and Allo- or Auto- Transplant



Calculations based on Van Den Neste, et al. Bone Marrow Transplantation 2016 51; 51

#### **Commercially approved CD19-directed CAR-T**

#### Approved as Third Line Therapy (or for "the third space")

 YESCARTA<sup>™</sup> (axicabtagene ciloleucel): Package insert 2017Adult patients with relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma after two or more lines of systemic therapy, including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, high grade B-cell lymphoma, and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma.

#### • KYMRIAH<sup>™</sup> (tisagenlecleucel): Package insert May 2018

Adult patients with *relapsed or refractory (r/r) large B-cell lymphoma after two or more lines of systemic therapy* including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) not otherwise specified, high grade B-cell lymphoma and DLBCL arising from follicular lymphoma.

## **Generic Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR)**

#### Extracellular domain

- scFv: monoclonal antibody derivative
- determines receptor specificity

#### Intracellular domain

 fusion protein comprised of a T-cell costimulatory receptor signaling domain + a TCRζ activation domain



#### **Transmembrane domain**

- has an extracellular spacer / hinge region

## **Approved CD19-directed CAR T Cells**



**Kite Pharma** 

**Novartis** 

## **The CAR T Cell Process**



S. J. Schuster, 2017

## CTL019 Penn Study: UPCC13413, DLBCL

#### Key eligibility criteria

• Adult histologically proven CD19+ relapsed/refractory DLBCL after ASCT or ineligible for ASCT, including primary refractory patients with PD or SD; transformed FL allowed; measurable disease; ECOG PS 0/1

DLBCL Patient Characteristics (N = 14)			
Age, median	57.5 yr (range 25-77)		
Female sex	3 (21%)		
Prior therapies, median	3 (range 1-8)		
Advanced Stage (III-IV)	9 (64%)		
Bone marrow involved	3/14 (21%)		
Elevated LDH	8 (57%)		
ECOG PS, median	1 (range 0-1)		
Refractory DLBCL*	12 (86%)		
Prior autologous SCT	7 (50%)		

\*Refractory DLBCL is defined as: 1) progressive or stable disease as best response to chemotherapy (stable disease is < 12 month duration after at least 4 cycles of first line or 2 cycles of later line therapy), or 2) relapse < 12 months after autoSCT. Patients must have received an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody unless CD20 negative and an anthracycline as one of their prior regimens.

## CTL019 Penn Study: UPCC13413, DLBCL

- Single-center, phase II study at the University of Pennsylvania showed durable remissions with a single infusion of CTL019 in r/r DLBCL (Cohort A)
  - No patient in CR at 6 months had relapsed at median follow-up, 28.6 months (as of May 31,2017)

#### Response Rates (N = 14)

	Month 3	Month 6
ORR	50%	50%
CR	36%	43%
PR	14%	7%

#### Response Duration: (n = 7; 6 CR + 1 PR)

- Median response duration: not reached
- 86% responding at median follow-up of 28.6 months\*

CR, complete response; ORR, overall response rate; PR, partial response

#### DLBCL: Lymphodepleting therapy (n = 14)

(n)	Regimen
6	Hyperfractionated /m <sup>2</sup> (1.8 gm/m <sup>2</sup> )
2	Modified EPOCH (doxorubicin 10 mg/m <sup>2</sup> and etoposide 50 mg/m <sup>2</sup> daily x 4 by continuous infusion, cyclophosphamide 750 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ; no prednisone, no vincristine)
2	cyclophosphamide (1.0 gm/m²)
2	bendamustine (90 mg/m <sup>2</sup> daily x 2)
1	Radiation therapy (4000 cGy) + cyclophosphamide (750 mg/m²)
1	Infusional etoposide (etoposide 50 mg/m² daily x 4 by continuous infusion) + bolus cyclophosphamide (750 mg/m²)

## CTL019 Penn Study: UPCC13413, DLBCL

#### **Remarkably durable response duration**



Schuster SJ, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Dec 28;377(26):2545-2554.

## Outcomes for Relapsed DLBCL Receiving Third-line Allo- or Auto- Transplant vs. CAR-T Therapy



\*Biased since ½ of CAR T patients already had a transplant

Calculations based on Van Den Neste, et al. BMT 2016 and Schuster SJ, et al. NEJM 2017

## JULIET Trial: Eligibility and endpoints

tisagenlecleucel (CTL019)

N = 111; Median follow-up, 14 mo (max, 23 mo)

#### Key eligibility criteria

- ≥ 18 years of age
- Central confirmation of histology
- ≥ 2 prior lines of therapy for DLBCL
- PD after or ineligible for auto-SCT
- No prior anti-CD19 therapy
- No active CNS involvement

#### Endpoints

- Primary endpoint: best overall response rate (ORR: CR + PR)
  - Lugano criteria used for response assessment by IRC<sup>1</sup>
- Secondary endpoints: DOR, OS, safety

auto-SCT, autologous stem cell transplant; CNS, central nervous system; CR, complete response; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; DOR, duration of response; IRC, Independent Review Committee; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response.

## **JULIET: Patient characteristics**

	Patients (N = 111)
Age, median (range), years	56 (22-76)
≥ 65 years, %	23
ECOG performance status 0/1, %	55/45
Central histology review	
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, %	79
Transformed follicular lymphoma, %	19
Double/triple hits in CMYC/BCL2/BCL6 genes, %	17
Cell of origin <sup>b</sup>	
Germinal/Nongerminal center B-cell type, %	57/41
# of prior lines of antineoplastic therapy, %	
2/3 / 4-6	44/31 / 21
IPI ≥ 2 at study entry, %	72
Refractory/relapsed to last therapy, %	55/45
Prior auto-SCT, %	49
Bridging chemotherapy, n	102
Lymphodepleting chemotherapy, n	103

## JULIET Trial: Tisagenlecleucel in r/r DLBCL

Response Duration by Best ORR w/in 3 months of infusion



ORR = overall response rate; CR = complete response; PR = partial response r/r DLBCL = relapsed or refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma

\* from Borchmann et al. EHA 2018

## **JULIET: Response rates**

#### Best ORR w/in 3 months of infusion, 52% (95% CI, 41%-62%): 40% CR,

	Null hypothesis of ORR ≤ 20%	ORR n/N (%)
All patients	-	48/93 (52)
Age		
<65 years	-	35/71 (49)
≥65 years		13/22 (59)
Sex		
Female		19/33 (58)
Male		29/60 (48)
Prior response status		
Refractory to last line		19/48 (40)
Relapsed to last line		29/45 (64)
IPI at enrollment		
<2 risk factors		14/25 (56)
≥2 risk factors		34/68 (50)
Prior antineoplastic therapy		
s2 lines		26/49 (53)
>2 lines		22/44 (50)
Molecular subtype		
Activated B-cell		21/40 (52)
Germinal cell		24/50 (48)
Prior HSCT therapy		
No		26/52 (50)
Yes	<b></b>	22/41 (54)
Rearranged MYC/BCL2/BCL6		
Double/Triple hits	·	8/16 (50)
Other	-	40/77 (52)
		)

\*Borchmann et al. EHA 2018

## **ZUMA-1 Trial: Eligibility and endpoints**

• axicabtagene ciloleucel (KTE-C19)

#### Key eligibility criteria

- ZUMA-1 phase II portion
  - Cohort 1: patients with refractory DLBCL (n = 77)
  - Cohort 2: patients with refractory PMBCL or transformed FL (n = 24)
- Key inclusion criteria
  - No response to last CT or relapsed within 12 mos of ASCT
  - Prior treatment with anthracycline and anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody

#### Secondary Endpoints

- Assess TTR for patients with both objective response and CR
- Assess PR and CR at Month 3 as PFS prognostic factor

TTR, time to response

## **ZUMA-1: Patient Characteristics**

Characteristic	Overall (N = 101)
Median age, yrs (range)	58 (23-76)
Male, n (%)	68 (67)
ECOG PS 1, n (%)	59 (58)
Disease stage III/IV, n (%)	86 (85)
IPI score 3-4, n (%)	46 (46)
$\geq$ 3 prior therapies, n (%)	70 (69)
Median SPD of index lesions, mm <sup>2</sup> (range)	3721 (171-23,297)
Refractory Subgroup Prior to Enrollment	
Refractory to ≥ 2 lines of therapy, n (%) Best response as PD to last therapy, n (%)	77 (76) 67 (66)
Relapse post-ASCT, n (%)	21 (21)

Locke, et al. ASCO 2018

## ZUMA-1: Axicabtagene Ciloleucel in r/r DLBCL

Response Duration by Best Objective Response (ZUMA-1)



CR = complete response; PR = partial response; PFS = progression free survival

r/r DLBCL = relapsed or refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma

Neelapu SS, et al. NEJM. 2017;377:2531; Locke FL, et al. ASCO 2018.

## **CD19-CAR T Cell Therapy Summary: DLBCL**

	CTL019 * tisagenlecleucel		KT axicabta	E-C19 ** gene ciloleucel
Disease state	r/r DLBCL	r/r tFL	r/r DLBCL	r/r tFL/PMBCL
Response evaluable pts, n	89	22	77	24
Follow-up, median	14 months		15.4 months	
Efficacy	n = 93		n = 101	
ORR / CR	52% / 40%	52% / 40% [w/in 3 mo]		54% [best]
% PFS for CR @ 12 mos	78.5%			79%
DOR (CR + PR; median)	not reached		11.1	l months
DOR (CR; median)	not reached		not	reached
Safety	n = 111		n = 101	
CRS	22% grade 3/4*		13%	grade <u>&gt;</u> 3**
Neurotoxicity	12% grade 3/4		28%	grade <u>&gt;</u> 3

\*Borchmann et al. EHA 2018;

\*\*Locke, et al. ASCO 2018; Neelapau, et al. *NEJM*. 2017. \* Penn scale; \*\* Lee scale

Calculations based on Van Den Neste, et al. BMT 2016 and Schuster SJ, et al. NEJM 2017

# CD19-directed CAR T cells: What's next?

#### Proof of Concept: Follicular Lymphoma UPCC13413

#### Key eligibility criteria

 Adult histologically proven CD19+ relapsed/refractory FL with measurable disease <2 years after second or higher line of immunochemotherapy (not counting single agent monoclonal antibody therapy); measurable disease; ECOG PS 0/1

FL: Patient Characteristics (n = 15 enrolled; n = 14 infused)

Median age	62 years (range 43 - 72)		
Sex	7 (47%) men		
Median prior therapies	5 (range 2 - 10)		
Prior R-CHOP/R-EPOCH	13 (87%)		
Prior R/O-bendamustine	11 (73%)		
Prior idelalisib	4 (27%)		
Prior transplant %	4 (27%)		
Stage III – IV (enrollment)	13 (87%)		
Increased LDH (enrollment)	10 (67%)		
> 1 extranodal site (enrollment)	4 (27%)		
Median ECOG PS (enrollment)	0 (range 0 – 1)		

#### Proof of Concept: Follicular Lymphoma UPCC13414

- Single-center, phase 2 study at the University of Pennsylvania showed durable remissions with a single infusion of CTL019 in r/r FL
  - No patient in CR at 6 months had relapsed at median follow-up, 28.6 months\*

Response Rates <sup>1</sup> (N = 14)		
	Month 3	Month 6
ORR	79%	78%
CR	50%	71%
PR	29%	7%

Response Duration (n = 11; CR + PR)

- Median response duration: not reached
- 88.9% responding at median follow-up of 28.6 months\*

CR, complete response; ORR, overall response rate; PR, partial response

#### FL: Lymphodepleting therapy (n = 14)

(n)	Regimen
6	bendamustine (90 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) daily x 2
1	cyclophosphamide (200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) + fludarabine (20 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) daily x 3
3	XRT (400 cGy) + cyclophosphamide (1 g/m <sup>2</sup> )
1	cyclophosphamide (1 g/m²)
1	cyclophosphamide (1.2 g/m²) over 4 days
1	carboplatin + gemcitabine
1	modified EPOCH

- 1. Chong, et al. ASH 2016. Abstract 1100.
- 2. Schuster SJ, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Dec 28;377(26):2545-2554.

## **CTL019 in Follicular Lymphoma UPCC13413**



Schuster SJ, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Dec 28;377(26):2545-2554.

## Follicular Lymphoma: 13413-19

10/15/2014

CTL019: 11/04/2014

12/03/2014







## **FL Results: Time to Next Therapy**



Chong, et al. ASH 2016. Abstract 1100.

## **CD19-directed CAR T Cell: Folklore**

- CAR T cells directed against CD19 result in profound and prolonged humoral immunodeficiency. UPCC13413 observations:
  - 16 patients in CR > 6 months: 8 had sustained polyclonal B-cell recovery
  - 12 patients in CR > 6 months did not receive prophylactic IVIG
    - 2 patients required IVIG for recurrent infections at 12 and 22 months
- 10 patients (5 DLBCL; 5 FL) at median follow-up 22.5 months (range, 11-34):
  - 3/10 patients had increases in IgG levels by 18 months (2 to normal)
  - 4/10 patients reached normal IgM between 12 and 24 months
  - 3/10 patients had increases in IgA levels between 24 and 30 months (2 to normal)



# CTL019 CAR T Cells + ?

## **T Cell Targets for Immunoregulatory Therapy**



<sup>1</sup> Mellman et al. Nature 480, 480-489 (2011) doi:10.1038/nature10673

#### 13413-34: FL

- 34 year old woman with FL, grade 2
- Past therapies included:
  - rituximab CVP + maintenance rituximab
  - rituximab chlorambucil prednisone
  - Zevalin
  - R-CHOP
  - cyclophosphamide etoposide
  - R-EPOCH
  - allogeneic bone marrow transplant
  - lenalidomide rituximab
  - Ibrutinib
  - carboplatin gemcitabine
- Lymphodepleting chemotherapy: 7/20/15
  - carboplatin gemcitabine
- CTL019 infusion: 7/29/15

#### 13413-34: FL Transformed to "Double Hit" DLBCL

#### October 15, 2015: Day +78 CTL019



Biopsy: October 23, 2015

- Flow: kappa LC, CD10+, CD19+
- IHC: large PAX5+ B cells; PDL1+
- FISH: c-MYC and BCL-2 rearranged



- $\rightarrow$  Nov. 2 & 3: radiation therapy (1400 cGy)
- $\rightarrow$  Nov. 19 & Dec. 9: nivolumab

December 30, 2015



Biopsy: March 6, 2016

- Extensive necrosis
- No tumor seen



#### CAR T Cells and PD-1 Blockade: Studies in Progress

- Phase I/II study of pembrolizumab in patients failing to respond to or relapsing after anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor modified T cell therapy for relapsed or refractory CD19+ lymphomas
  - NCT02650999
  - Accrual is complete
- Correlative studies in progress:
  - Study modulation of tumor immunophenotype and microenvironment and their effects on CAR T cells in patients failing CTL019, as well as effects of PD-1 blockade on CAR T cells, tumor and microenvironment

## CTL019 CAR T Cells: Pros and Cons

	Pros		Cons
•	Serves an unmet need in DLBCL (already approved therapy in US / EU)	•	Cost (expensive)
•	Will be applicable to other CD19+ NHLs	•	Production time (4weeks)
•	Amenable to modulation of T cell function to improve efficacy	•	Efficacy < 100%
•	Amenable to modulation of T cell function to reduce toxicity	•	Cytokine release syndrome
•	Saves lives!	•	Neurotoxicity
		•	Requires apheresis and adequate lymphocyte count and function

# **Questions & Discussion**